

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/667,062	09/19/2003	Yuichi Takamine	36856.1129	1442	
54066	7590 05/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850			SUMMONS, BARBARA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
MCLEAN, VA 22102		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				١.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	┲
Office Action Summary		10/667,062	TAKAMINE, YUICHI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Barbara Summons	2817	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the state o	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies o	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/667,062

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: a surface acoustic wave (SAW) device wherein the first and second reflectors within a SAW filter differ in structure as shown by Figs. 1, 6, and 7 (claims 1-4, 9 and 17).

Species II: a SAW device wherein within a SAW filter the distances between first and second reflectors and the adjacent transducer differ as shown in Fig. 8 (claims 5 and 18).

Species III: a SAW device wherein the reflectors are the same and the reflector electrodes are apodized as shown in Fig. 12 (claims 6 and 19).

Species IV: a SAW device wherein a portion of a reflector differs from the surrounding reflector electrodes as shown in Figs. 13 and 14 (claims 7, 8, 20, and 21).

Species V: a SAW device wherein the first and second reflectors within a filter have the same structure, but the reflectors differ in structure between some of the first through fourth individual SAW filters of the device and are identical in structure between some of the first through fourth individual SAW filters as shown in Figs. 15-17 (claims 10-14, 22, and 23).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,062

Art Unit: 2817

Species VI: a SAW device wherein the first and second reflectors within a filter are an equal distance from the adjacent transducers, but these distances differ between some of the first through fourth individual filters of the device and are equal between some of the first through fourth individual filters as shown in Fig. 18 (claims 15, 16, 24, 25).

The species are independent or distinct because they each possess features that are not obvious variations over the features of the other species. That is, if a reference pertaining to a single species were found, the claims to the other species could not be rejected as obvious using that reference, and independent references would have to be found for every species, thereby creating a burdensome search.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/667,062

Art Unit: 2817

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Christopher A. Bennett on 5/15/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/667,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara Summons whose telephone number is (571) 272-1771. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Pascal can be reached on (571) 271-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bs May 15, 2006 BULLUA SUMMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER